Physiotherapist Sharon Mallia reports on the success of CrossFit-based training with 20 seniors aged 75-91.

BY SHARON MALLIA
and consistent alterations in the base of support” (29), which is more approximate to the way people perform daily tasks (17).

Fundamentally, functional training is built on the core principle of training specificity, which means that training in a specific activity is the best way to maximize performance in that specific activity (12,23). This is what the core CrossFit movements are based upon. Squatting, for example, mimics standing from a seated position, and deadlifting mimics picking an object off the floor (7). In other words, the closer the mode of training mimics the desired task or motion, the better the outcome will be. While it might initially seem confusing to talk about specificity in CrossFit, a program that focuses on general physical preparedness (GPP) rather than specific training, “CrossFit’s specificity” is that it uses compound, multi-joint movements that replicate in the gym the way the body must move to accomplish tasks outside the gym.

When using vigorous exercise with older adults, every workout must be specifically designed to accommodate the fitness level and physical limitations of each person. Life expectancy has increased drastically, and the number of older persons across the world is expected to more than double over the next four decades to reach a staggering 392 million persons aged 80 years or more by the year 2050 (32).

This increase in life expectancy represents a unique opportunity and challenge for exercise professionals. When it comes to training older adults, we need to move away from the erroneous impression that older adults should only engage in mild-to-moderate physical activity. However, in order to provide a safe yet effective training program, every professional trainer must keep a few things in mind.

The Physiology of Aging

The natural aging process brings about a decline in physical performance that is mostly attributed to a drop in aerobic endurance and muscular strength (25,35,33). The assumption is that loss of muscle size contributes to weakness, so much effort has been dedicated over the years to preventing age-related loss of muscular tissue (10). However, studies have indicated that weakness and muscle size are less tightly related than previously thought, with progression of weakness considerably outpacing the loss of muscle (9,14).

Age-related muscle weakness (dynampenia) can have devastating effects on a person’s ability to perform everyday activities such as climbing stairs, picking up a grandchild, or simply getting out of a chair or bathtub, predisposing older adults to poorer function and greater risk of falls (31).

Training Older Adults

The present body of literature focuses mostly on the use of progressive resistance strength training (1,13,15,18,20,28). However, improving muscle strength alone produces only a trivial change, often non-significant in reducing late-life disability. Why? Because when the training predominantly focuses on increasing muscle strength, older adults might find difficulty in learning how to transfer this newly found strength to improve their performance of mundane tasks (17).

The most plausible reason for this non-linear transferability is that even though age-related decline in muscle strength is strongly associated with functional decline in older adults (11), the aging process also influences other motor elements such as balance, agility and coordination (27). Therefore, if the exercise program only targets one essential body motor element, the training effect will not necessarily translate into an improvement in everyday function.

On the contrary, functional training approaches such as that seen in CrossFit “train muscles in coordinated, multi-planar movement patterns and incorporate multiple joints, dynamic tasks, and consistent alterations in the base of support” (29), which is more approximate to the way people perform daily tasks (17).

Fundamentally, functional training is built on the core principle of training specificity, which means that training in a specific activity is the best way to maximize performance in that specific activity (12,23). This is what the core CrossFit movements are based upon. Squatting, for example, mimics standing from a seated position, and deadlifting mimics picking an object off the floor (7). In other words, the closer the mode of training mimics the desired task or motion, the better the outcome will be. While it might initially seem confusing to talk about specificity in CrossFit, a program that focuses on general physical preparedness (GPP) rather than specific training, “CrossFit’s specificity” is that it uses compound, multi-joint movements that replicate in the gym the way the body must move to accomplish tasks outside the gym.

When using vigorous exercise with older adults, every workout must be specifically designed to accommodate the fitness level and physical limitations of each person.
breathing—when working with older adults (21).

Second, one must be aware that the aging process is associated with degeneration of the cartilaginous tissues, leading to tightening of the joints, tendency toward osteoarthrits and loss of tissue elasticity (3), putting older adults at an increased risk of musculoskeletal inury. Ultimately, when training older adults, the goal should always be that of maintaining independence and improving quality of life. Except in extreme cases involving masters athletes, an older adult’s ability to lift a grocery bag or go face-to-face with small grandchildren is far more important than chasing high performance in the gym, though improved gym performance most certainly relates to improved function outside the gym.

An Eight-Week Study on Retaining Physical Function in Older Adults: The CrossFit Approach

CrossFit is becoming increasingly popular in older populations, but so far no academic studies have delved into the topic of CrossFit and older adults. I am a physiotherapist by profession and was introduced to the world of CrossFit about three years ago. Since then, I have been incorporating some aspects of CrossFit in my work at a geriatric rehabilitation hospital, and after seeing its efficacy, I decided to incorporate CrossFit in my thesis submitted as part of a master’s degree in gerontology and geriatrics. The primary objective of this study was to test whether CrossFit principles can be safely and effectively used to improve the physical function of older adults, consequently increasing their level of independence in activities of daily living and offering them better quality of life. The second aim was to investigate the perception older adults have of this training program.

Method

The study population consisted of 20 older adults (19 females and 1 male) who were voluntarily recruited from two residenti-al care homes in Malta. The ages varied between 75 and 91 years old, with a mean age of 84. The intervention consisted of 16 CrossFit-based exercise classes, with two sessions taking place every week for eight weeks and each session lasting between 45 minutes and an hour.

The participants’ level of functional fitness was measured before and at the end of the intervention using the Senior Fitness Test, which is composed of a battery of test items specifically designed to assess the four physical parameters identified as being the relevant components of functional fitness in older adults: muscular strength, aerobic endurance, flexibility, and agility and dynamic balance. After completing the exercise program, participants were asked to take part in a focus-group discussion to express their perceptions and personal experiences of the exercise program.

CrossFit aims to optimize physical competence in each of 10 fitness domains: cardiovascular and respiratory endurance, stamina, strength, flexibility, power, speed, coordination, agility, balance, and accuracy (7). While all are valuable, the domains that are paramount for older adults are balance, flexibility, strength and aerobic fitness (2), and this intervention focused on these elements.

A typical session consisted of four components:
- Dynamic warm-up—Warm-up featured a set of exercises intended to elevate the heart rate and increase the body temperature. The warm-up lasted 10 to 15 minutes and consisted of aerobic exercises, functional movements, joint-mobility work and stretches that complemented the movements in the workout of the day.
- Skill/strength work—This part lasted around 10 minutes. On some days the aim was to master a specific skill that would later be used during the workout of the day, while on other days the focus was on strength training.
- Workout of the day—This component lasted between 15 to 30 minutes depending on the intensity and consisted of a combination of exercises such as squats, lunges, push presses, deadlifts, ground-to-overheads and step-ups. Every participant was advised to work with the weight and intensity he or she felt comfortable with, and all exercises were modified to the needs of the individual. As stated by Powell (21), “relative intensity” is most important when training older adults. The workouts were carried out individually or in a team depending on the session.
- Cool-down and stretching—The last few minutes were always dedicated to cool-down and a series of stretches for the major muscle groups.

The exercise sessions were designed to be progressive in nature, and the first few focused on mastering four of CrossFit’s nine fundamental movements: squat, front squat, overhead squat and press. We also introduced the deadlift, sumo deadlift high pull and medicine-ball clean later. The push press and push jerk complete the list (34) but were not included in this program because they require a higher level of skill. Although these lifts are beneficial, the researcher chose to focus on those functional movements that are most relevant in the geriatric scenario. The nine foundational CrossFit movements are important because capacity and sound mechanics in these movements constitute the essence of physical competence in three-dimensional space, and they translate readily to all other movements (34). As participants started to master these movements, the difficulty level was increased by utilizing more complex exercises that involved multiple movements (e.g., ground to overhead) and additional balance components (e.g., catching a ball from various heights).

Results

All the participants led a sedentary lifestyle and started the intervention at rather poor fitness levels. Some used walking aids, and others could not even rise from a chair without having to ask for assistance. For this reason, all the exercises had to be highly scaled. However, following eight weeks of training, all participants still achieved a satisfactory improvement in both upper- and lower-body strength as measured by the chair-stand test (45.8 percent average improvement) and the arm-curl test (39.2 percent average improvement). This supports the idea that although high training intensities appear to be necessary to achieve muscle hypertrophy, training at lower intensities is sufficient to initiate neuromuscular improvements (30).

Most participants also improved significantly in lower-body flexibility (51.6 percent average improvement); “I enjoy sitting on my couch watching TV, but before when I used to drop something like the remote control, I had to get up and struggle to pick it up. I love that now I can just bend over and reach for it” (78-year-old female).

However, at the end of the intervention a few participants still struggled with movements that required reaching down for their toes; “Before it was very difficult to bend and reach for my toes especially to put on tights; I never used to manage. Although I still cannot do it, I feel I have improved, and I want to continue carrying out these exercises until I manage to put on my tights” (90-year-old female).

On the other hand, the extent of improvement in upper-body flexibility as measured by the back-scratch test (8 percent aver-age improvement) was much smaller than that observed for lower-body flexibility, and for most participants it was not sufficient to bring about an improvement in everyday function. These results are in line with the findings reported by Misner and colleagues (19), who studied the long-term (five years) effects of exercise on shoulder and hip range of motion and reported only a trivial improvement in shoulder range of motion as opposed to hip range of motion.

The participants claimed that since they started taking part in this CrossFit-based exercise program, they felt increasingly fit and energetic.
There was also a marked improvement in aerobic endurance (21 percent average improvement) as measured by the two-minute step test, and the participants claimed that since they started taking part in this CrossFit-based exercise program, they felt increasingly fit and energetic. More importantly, this increase in exercise tolerance enabled them to complete activities they were not able to do before, such as going to the market or simply managing a flight of stairs.

“I enjoy going to the local market and whilst before I had to stop and rest a few times on the way, now I can keep on going,” an 81-year-old female stated.

According to their initial scores of agility and dynamic balance, all participants were at an increased risk of falling. At the end of the intervention, this study found an average improvement of 15 percent in the participants’ agility and dynamic balance as measured by the timed up-and-go test. However, when comparing the scores achieved by the participants to normative scores based on a validity study of community-dwelling older adults, this improvement was not enough to substantially abridge their risk of falling. Having said that, the participants still reported a decrease in fear of falling and an improvement in their everyday level of function. Most of this improvement can be attributed to an increase in self-confidence, as participants believed more in their abilities.

Moreover, the participants explained how this increase in agility and balance led to a boost in their self-image and self-esteem. For example, an 84-year-old lady shared that the previous day they had an outing, and while the bus driver usually had to bring her a step to go up on the bus, this time she managed to go up and down without the need for the step. Although it might not sound like much, to her it meant regaining some of her lost independence.

Activity avoidance due to fear of falling is common in older adults—even in those without a history of falls (37). Older people who are afraid of falling and consequently avoid activities enter a debilitating spiral of loss of confidence, restriction of physical activities and social participation, physical frailty, falls, and loss of independence (5,38).

“The major positive impact these exercises had on my life is the fact that now I can manage to go up and down a few steps. Before I did not manage and I used to avoid them completely because I was too scared of falling. Now I feel much more confident and I can even manage certain movements (she said while bracing herself) that I could not manage before such as crossing my hands over my body,” said a 78-year-old female.

Over and above the physical improvements, CrossFit gave participants the opportunity to build new friendships and widen their social circle. While exercise served as a communal topic in conversation, it made them feel more included and more confident in joining social events. With older adults in long-term care being inactive and alone up to 65 per cent of their time (6), this study suggests that a CrossFit-based group-exercise program can be an effective method to reduce loneliness in older adults living in long-term care. Evidence does in fact show that social-network involvement is associated with health and well-being across the lifespan (16) because members of a social network can facilitate an older adult’s adaptation to life-changing events (26).

Throughout the course of the study, there were no reports of any injuries or negative repercussions. Thus, this study supports that CrossFit is safe and well tolerated in healthy older adults even in the eighth and ninth decades of life. It can prove challenging to provide the necessary individual attention and meet the needs of the individual while tending to a large class, so I suggest that initially older adults—especially those starting from sedentary lifestyles—should start training individually or in small groups until they can master the basic movements and reach a fitness level that allows them to join a class.

Conclusion

Overall, the CrossFit-based exercise program implemented by this study was a success, suggesting that two CrossFit-based exercise classes per week have the ability to enhance the level of physical function in older adults, including those in their eighth and ninth decades of life and those living in long-term residential care. Older adults perceive CrossFit as a fun method of training and recognize that its functional nature and holistic approach can help them improve their ability to carry out everyday tasks and subsequently retain their independence.

Finally, implementing a CrossFit-based exercise program for older adults is feasible even with restricted financial resources because every open space can be adapted and used for training.
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