VO2 MAX-EFFORT LIFT
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Once considered the gold standard of fitness, VO₂ max is now just one aspect of athletic performance.
“By analyzing the amount of oxygen you consume, the (VO₂ max) test determines how efficiently your body extracts and uses oxygen from the air. This makes it the gold standard of fitness markers, as well as a strong indicator of your overall health.”

That statement appeared in the April 21 Menshealth.com article “5 Health Tests That Could Save Your Life” (7).

Do you think it’s correct? Incorrect? Partially correct?

As CrossFit athletes, we’re interested in a broad and inclusive fitness, high levels of general physical preparedness (GPP) and increased work capacity. CrossFit training is often characterized by intensity, and many CrossFit athletes aren’t especially fond of long, steady-state workouts that require lower intensity due to their duration. That’s OK, because we can achieve aerobic adaptations through the interval work that has always been a large part of CrossFit programming.

“Properly structured, anaerobic activity can be used to develop a very high level of aerobic fitness without the muscle wasting consistent with high volumes of aerobic exercise!!” CrossFit Founder and CEO Greg Glassman wrote in the CrossFit Journal article “What Is Fitness?” “The method by which we use anaerobic efforts to develop aerobic conditioning is ‘interval training’” (4).

The more we understand about the mechanics of aerobic adaptation, the better the foundation for solid programming, so let’s take a quick look at these adaptations through the lens of the Fick equation, named for German physiologist Adolf Eugen Fick.

What the Fick?
The Fick equation reads as follows: VO₂ = Q x (a - vO₂).

- VO₂ is the amount of oxygen utilized by our bodies, measured in liters per minute.
- Q is cardiac output—heart rate (HR) x stroke volume (SV).
- HR is measured in beats per minute, and SV is defined as the amount of blood ejected from the heart per beat (ml/beat).
- a - vO₂ is the difference in arterial and venous oxygen content, measured in ml of oxygen per 100 ml of blood. This is a measure of oxygen extraction at the tissue (skeletal muscle for our purposes).

The question: “How does training affect these variables and allow us to improve our VO₂ max?”

We know that the two determinants of VO₂ max are genetics and training, with genetics being the major contributor (about 60-70 percent genetics versus about 30-40 percent training) (2).

Alterations in Fick-Equation Variables With Endurance Training
Rest:

- VO₂ = Q x (a - vO₂)
- Q = HR x SV

Max Exercise:

- VO₂ = Q x (a - vO₂)
- Q = HR x SV

Let’s look at cardiac output first, referencing the above equations.

With aerobic training, we see a couple of changes in the determinants of Q (cardiac output) at rest. Resting Q remains the same, but SV increases, allowing for a lower HR (training bradycardia).

As we examine the max-exercise equation for cardiac output, we see a couple of changes post training as well. Q has increased, max heart rate is unchanged (but may decrease), and SV has increased. At this point we can eliminate HR as a causal factor in increasing VO₂ max. SV increases with aerobic training—but how?

One of the first adaptations to aerobic training is increased blood volume due to increases in plasma and red blood cells, leading to an improved capacity to transport oxygen. The
increased blood volume also increases the stretch on the left ventricle, leading to increased filling. These two adaptations lead to a larger amount of blood ejected per beat—a larger stroke volume.

The third variable in the Fick equation—a $vO_2$—also increases with training. In untrained individuals, the difference at rest is about 5 ml of $O_2$ per 100 ml of blood, and it increases to about 15 ml of $O_2$ per 100 ml of blood at max exercise. As you can see, oxygen extraction at the muscle increases with increasing exercise intensity. With aerobic training, this difference can increase to about 18 ml of $O_2$ per 100 ml of blood, meaning the body becomes better at offloading oxygen at the muscle.

By looking at the Fick equation, we see part of how we adapt aerobically: primarily via increases in stroke volume (due to increased blood volume), and to a lesser extent via increases in the difference between arterial and venous oxygen content.

In application, this gives us an understanding of the rationale behind the CrossFit exercise prescription. For workouts lasting longer than two minutes that require an elevated heart rate for the duration, we will likely see some aerobic adaptation even if the workouts include lifting or gymnastics and are far from the “steady-state conditioning” common in running, cycling or other endurance sports.

“The Gold Standard?”

This leads us back to the Men’s Health quote:

1. “By analyzing the amount of oxygen you consume, the test determines how efficiently your body extracts and uses oxygen from the air.”

For the purposes of this article, the description is adequate.

2. “This makes it the gold standard of fitness markers, as well as a strong indicator of your overall health.”

Men’s Health is obviously referring to aerobic capacity—not GPP—as “fitness,” and if VO$_2$ max were indeed the gold standard, we wouldn’t need to run distance races anymore. We would simply have athletes test their VO$_2$ maxes and declare a winner.

CrossFit Games champion Rich Froning Jr. posted a VO$_2$ max of 73.9 ml/kg/min to Instagram early in 2015 (3), and while the number is definitely impressive when compared to normative data, it’s unlikely Froning’s success can be attributed to VO$_2$ max alone. But would this number make him a better endurance athlete than those with lower VO$_2$ max numbers?

Olympic marathon champions Peter Snell (72.3 ml/kg/min) and Frank Shorter (71.3 ml/kg/min) recorded VO$_2$ maxes lower than Froning’s (6), but Froning would not have been competitive in a marathon with these athletes.

Although a relatively high VO$_2$ max is important to be successful in endurance activities, other markers correlate more closely to overall fitness: lactate thresholds and critical power (1, 5, 9).

Lactate threshold is the point at which lactate begins to accumulate in the blood during exercise, and critical power is determined by using test results to graph power output against time and determine the point at which power decreases level off as time increases. These two variables are also more “trainable” than VO$_2$ max, and the more one can increase these variables relative to VO$_2$ max, the longer higher work rates can be maintained.

For example, if two people have the same relative VO$_2$ max and compete in an endurance-based event, the one with the higher lactate threshold and/or greater critical power will likely win (all else being equal). This could also hold true for someone with a lower relative VO$_2$ max and higher lactate threshold and/or greater critical power.

“Strive to blur distinctions between ‘cardio’ and strength training. Nature has no regard for this distinction or any other.”

—Greg Glassman

“Strive to blur distinctions between ‘cardio’ and strength training. Nature has no regard for this distinction or any other,” Glassman wrote in “What Is Fitness?” (4).

In the CrossFit Level 2 Trainer Course, it is suggested that we keep the majority of our workouts shorter than 15 minutes because this time domain allows for the manipulation of intensity and movements to provide broad adaptation in strength, power and aerobic endurance. All three are important for the development of GPP.
Furthermore, it should be obvious to CrossFit athletes that cardiovascular/respiratory endurance is but one of CrossFit’s 10 fitness domains. It’s rather useless in CrossFit if an athlete hasn’t the strength to lift a barbell or the flexibility that will allow him or her to achieve optimal positioning in movements.

What about VO2 max as a strong indicator of “overall health”? The literature seems to back that up if we’re talking about cardiovascular health, but once a certain VO2 max level is reached, continued improvements don’t decrease the risk of chronic cardiovascular disease (for normative VO2 max data, see 10). In conditions such as heart failure, VO2 max dips into the very bad range, and patients may hit their max VO2 simply trying to walk across the room. Obviously, this lack of exercise tolerance becomes a major concern (8, 11, 12).

While VO2 max might have been considered a gold standard of endurance at some point, we have demonstrated that it is but one of several important variables, and its status as the gold standard is not backed up by data. VO2 max should be regarded as one of many variables involved in fitness, defined as increased work capacity across broad time and modal domains.

Now go do Murph and increase your stroke volume!
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